

quite different notation is employed—is simply infuriating! I would urge upon Prof. Pearson that he has now an unrivalled opportunity of fixing in the language of English (and perhaps foreign) mathematicians a really serviceable and significant system of notation.

The double-suffix notation for strain and stress, which is developed to perfection in St. Venant's French translation of Clebsch, has many advantages, but seems to be too cumbersome for English taste. Nothing perhaps could be more unmeaning than Thomson and Tait's notation for "stresses," independent as it is of all reference to the strain-symbols. Still I must confess (in common, I dare say, with most men who have derived their first inspirations from that mathematical epic) that it has secured too firm a place in my mental machinery to be lightly cast out, even in favour of a better.

W. J. IBBETSON

Cambridge, May 12

The Colours of Arctic and Alpine Animals

MR. R. MELDOLA has maintained, in *NATURE*, vol. xxxi. p. 505, the idea that the white colour of some animals, Arctic mammals and birds, must be ascribed to the absorbent and radiating power of the same colorations in relation to the rays of the sun. He maintains also that to a similar cause we owe the seasonal polychromism of several mammals and birds of the Alps, and what would be for these animals a partial return to the characters of the Glacial epoch.

By an analogous theory the author explains the contrary phenomenon that is observed in many insects—that is, the darkening of the coloration, and he speaks principally on this point of the Lepidoptera.

Now I beg to make the following observations, and to indicate the following facts:—

(1) That a seasonal mutation of colour is observable in many mammals, now more, now less distinctly, and generally it concurs with the change of coat. Also not seldom in mammals strictly belonging to the Alps, as, for example, in the *Rupicapra europea*, and in the *Capra ibex*, the colour changes very little in the summer and in the winter, although the length, the thickness, and also the coarseness of the hairs were very different. In other cases, as, for example, in the *Cervus mandchurinus*,¹ the coat is, in summer, light reddish yellow, with many round white spots, while in winter it is dark brown, and the round spots are less numerous and are light brown.

(2) As to the insects, it is observed that in *Coleoptera* the colours of the Alpine species are brighter than those of the warmer plains, as in the genera *Carabus*, *Pterostichus*, &c. In several species of *Harpalus*, *Amara*, *Cicindelis*, &c., the individuals that we find at the greatest elevations of the Alps have often lighter colours.

(3) A darker colour and sometimes a whole melanism is observed in general in the insects of the deserts—for example, in that of Sahara. On the contrary, the mammals of these countries present in general a very light colour. It seems to me that this fact cannot be explained by the theory of radiation.

(4) A very remarkable melanism is also observed in several mammals, the Reptilia and *Coleoptera* that are in little islands, or upon rocks in the warmest regions, for example the *L. muralis*, &c., *Cicindela campestris*, in the island of St. Peter in Sardinia.²

(5) In the reptiles and in the Alpine amphibia we sometimes meet with some cases of darkening, but the cases of a remarkable brightening are not very rare, as, for example, in the tadpoles of *Rana muta*.

(6) A sensible difference is observed in the coloration between the Arctic birds and the Antarctic. In these last black is much more abundant.

Indeed, Australia, New Zealand, &c., are countries known for a remarkable darkening in the colours of many sorts of animals.

In the Carnivora, which are the mammals that chiefly present seasonal polychromism and white colour, is observed a tendency to this colour in several forms that, however, do not live either in Polar regions or in very cold places. As to this fact the colour of the genera *Zorilla*, *Meles*, &c., and also the very curious *Ailurus melanoleucus* of Thibet,³ should be observed.

¹ Milne-Edwards, "Recherches pour servir à l'Histoire Naturelle des Mammifères," tav. 22, 22a. Paris: Masson.

² Si consulti L. Camerano, "Ricerche intorno alla Distribuzione dei Colori nel Regno animale." *Mem. R. Accad. Scienze di Torino*.

³ Milne-Edwards.

The causes, I would say in conclusion, that intervene to modify the colour of animals, are very complicated; climate has amongst these a certain importance, but it does not seem to me that, although it be very attractive, Mr. Meldola's theory of radiation is sufficient.

LORENZO CAMERANO

Zoological Museum of Turin

On Certain Stages of Ocular After-Images

IN a short note in the *Phil. Mag.*, 1872, vol. xliii. p. 343, Prof. C. A. Young has recorded a curious instance of "after-image," which seems to me to be of the same order as that observed by Mr. Shelford Bidwell, and recorded in *NATURE*, (vol. xxxii. p. 30). I quote from Prof. Young's note, which is named "Note on Recurrent Vision," a few lines, which will show what his observation was:—

"In the course of some experiments with a new double-plate Holtz machine belonging to the College (Dartmouth, America), I have come upon a very curious phenomenon, which I do not remember ever to have seen noticed. The machine gives easily intense Leyden-jar sparks from 7 to 9 inches in length, and of most dazzling brilliance, at the rate of seventy a minute. When, in a darkened room, the eye is screened from the direct light of the spark, the illumination produced is sufficient to render everything in the apartment perfectly visible; and, what is remarkable, every conspicuous object is seen *twice* at least, with an interval of a trifle less than a quarter of a second—the first time vividly, the second time faintly; often it is seen a third, and sometimes (but only with great difficulty) even a fourth time."

Prof. Young shows that it is a subjective phenomenon, and measures the interval between the first and second seeing of an object, giving as the mean of twelve experiments the interval 0.22 second for the case of his own eyes, and 0.24 second for that of another observer.

Five or six years ago I observed another instance of what I believe to be the same kind of "after-image," though at first I was inclined, being engaged upon experiments with a view to finding the cause of certain ocular "ghosts" due to multiple reflection inside the eye (*Proc. Roy. Soc.*, No. 223, 1883), to ascribe it to a different cause. It was seen in a room lighted only by the bright glow of coals in the grate. Whenever the eyes were suddenly flashed across the fireplace, and then fixed on some object 50° or 60° from it, there appeared a faint blue light, which seemed to flash from the object to the glow. This phenomenon was much more strongly marked at some times than others, and varied with some cause which I never further investigated. Later I came upon another instance of the same thing; and as this is the easiest to reproduce, and one by which one may best study the phenomena, I will describe it.

Let a match or a splinter of wood be made to glow, as for testing oxygen, and let it be observed in a dark room; the eyes should be fixed, and the glowing match moved about. I found that for purposes of rough measurement a most convenient curve of motion is a figure of 8 on its side in a vertical plane (∞). Also it is convenient to keep the period of the movement the same, and to vary the size of the curve if change of velocity is required. There are difficulties to be overcome in regulating the brilliancy of the light (Mr. Bidwell has pointed out the necessity of a certain degree of brilliancy in the case of the vacuum tubes), if a systematic investigation were undertaken; a glowing match becomes brighter the quicker the movement; the reverse is the case with a platinum wire carrying a strong current of electricity; and a small incandescent lamp is objectionable on account of reflection from its glass case.

I shall consider the "after-images" of the glowing-point as forming a trail, in which all the changes are set out at the same moment, and proceed to describe the trail for two cases. I should state that following descriptions refer to the trails as seen by me *in the evening*; for there are very considerable variations in the phenomena according as the eye is likely to be wearied or fresh. I may also repeat Mr. Bidwell's caution that it is by no means certain that a person new to the subject will at first be able to see the appearances described.

I arrange a metronome beating seconds, and move the glowing-point so as to describe the curve completely in two seconds. First, let the figure of eight be only as large as can be got into a rectangle 3 inches by 1½. In this case there comes after the glowing-point a dark interval in the trail, about an inch long; then a distinct blue-green ghost, about the same size as the

glowing-point; again a dark interval follows, shorter than the first, and behind it a long strip with a dark core and very faintly bright edges; as one traces backwards, the edges appear to close in together gradually, so that, after about two inches, the dark core has collapsed, as it were, and the edges have come together to form a narrow and well-defined thread of a mauve tinge; this gradually dies away as we go further back along the trail, and by the time that the glowing-point has travelled over the whole curve once, it has nearly disappeared.

Secondly, let the figure of eight be as large as can be described in a rectangle 8 inches by 4. Here the phenomena are quite different. It now seems as if the dark intervals at either end of the ghost as described above were absent, and the ghost itself were drawn out into a streak which follows *immediately* upon the glowing-point. Its colour is now yellow-green. This gradually narrows to extinction as one traces the trail backwards, and is the positive after-image in its various stages. More probably this streak has no connection with the true *ghost*; but is quite distinct from it, whilst the ghost no longer appears, when the point moves with greater velocity. In fact, there is probably a limiting velocity of the glowing-point, beyond which the ghost is not formed. This coincides with Mr. Bidwell's observations as to the rate of rotation of the vacuum-tube. As the yellow streak disappears narrowing, one sees a faint blue haze on either side, separated from it by an interval of darkness. When one has traced backwards so far that the streak has vanished, one sees what was above described as a strip with dark core and faint blue or mauve edges. The edges close in and form a distinct mauve thread, which gradually dies out.

It is very beautiful to see the ghostly trail hanging before one; and, by suitable movement of the glowing point, one may fill the space, as it were, with a maze of wreathing lines. Perhaps the most striking part of the phenomenon, regarded from an æsthetical standpoint, is the *depth* of the figures so produced: one realises in the form of the trail that the glowing-point has been moving, not in one plane, but in space; and one sees that some parts are nearer than others. After a time the glowing-point seems to be forgotten, and the trail is the only thing observed. The position of the trail appears to change with any change in the state of accommodation of the eye; if the trail goes away from one the eye attempts to follow it, and exaggerates the movement. If there is any irregularity in the curve, as may often be the case from want of proper co-ordination of muscles—especially if the moving arm is at all subject to rheumatism—it is revealed in a terribly truthful manner by the trail.

A systematic investigation of the subject would, I think, be very valuable as throwing light upon the processes in the retina.

Both Prof. Young ("whatever the true explanation may turn out to be, the phenomenon at least suggests the idea of a *reflection of the nervous impulse* at the nerve extremities, as if the intense impression upon the retina, after being the first time propagated to the brain, were then reflected, returned to the retina, and, travelling again from the retina to the brain, renewed the sensation") and Mr. Bidwell ("the series of phenomena seem to be due to an affection of the optic nerve which is of an oscillatory character," &c.) appear to incline to what I may call a *physical* view of the phenomena. The phenomena appear to me to point to some *chemical* action on the retina, and to depend in a great measure on the *rate* at which this action goes on. It would be of great interest to consider the phenomena in connection with Hering's theory of colour sensation; according to it these sensations are due to changes in a certain substance, in such a way that changes of a destructive or dissimilative character give rise to the sensations of white, red, and yellow, whilst those of a constructive or assimilative kind produce the sensations of black, green, and blue ("Zur Lehre vom Lichtsinne," Wien, 1878). It may be that this work has been already done; if so I must crave the indulgence of those who have made the subject a special study.

H. FRANK NEWALL

Crowthorne, Wokingham, May 18

"Speed" and "Velocity"

SOME of your "general" readers, like myself, may wish to see the distinction between "velocity" and "speed" more easily defined than by a reference to the calculus of quaternions, to which I believe the term "tensor" appertains.

"Speed" is not in the index to the new edition of Part II. of

Thomson and Tait. Maxwell, at p. 26 of "Matter and Motion," says, "The rate or speed of the motion is called the velocity of the particle." Tate, in his "Properties of Matter," p. 52, writes about "water of motion; i.e. *Speeds*." It seems thus:—

- (1) Rate of motion is velocity (Maxwell)
- (2) Speed of motion is velocity
- (3) Rate of motion is speed (Tate).

From (1) and (3) it appears as if velocity and speed must be the same, as indeed (2) seems to assert. But we are told this is not the case. Cannot the distinction between the two be made more generally intelligible than by saying that "speed" is the "tensor" of velocity.

SENEX

[When Maxwell introduced to junior students the *Diagram of Velocities*, he made velocity include the *direction* of motion as well as the mere *rate* of motion (i.e. speed).—ED.]

The Male Sole is not Unknown

IN last week's issue of NATURE is what is said to be an abstract of a paper read at the Society of Arts by Prof. Ray Lankester, in aid of a proposed marine laboratory, and, passing over what he stated generally requires elucidation, he gives one example of *what is not known among fishes*, and which in the first instance will be investigated at Plymouth. He is made to say "at present absolutely nothing is known as to the spawning of the sole—the male fish is not even recognised."

In times gone by the plaice was asserted to have ascended from a shrimp, but this, I think, is the first time that the existence of the male sole has been declined recognition. Omitting references to others, I will merely draw attention to the fact that in my collection of British fishes in spirit at the "Great International Fisheries Exhibition," and which is now deposited in the Economic Museum at South Kensington, is a fine example of the male sole, with the milt quite ripe.

I must apologise for pointing out the foregoing, but were such an error left unnoticed in a scientific paper, some practical fisherman will possibly direct attention to it, as the comparative rarity of the male to the female sole has been frequently observed upon in our weekly sporting journals during the last few years.

Cheltenham, May 23

FRANCIS DAY

The Aurora of March 15, 1885

NATURE for March 26 (p. 479) contains an account of a fine aurora observed at Christiania, Sweden, on March 15, by Prof. Sophus Tromholt. I would call attention to the fact that an aurora (a very unusual phenomenon at this place) was visible here on the evening of March 15. It was first seen at about 7 p.m.

At the above time several streamers were noticed ascending somewhat east of north: after a short interval these died leaving a white nebulous cloud of light at an altitude of about 10° near a point some 10° or 15° east of north. Shortly afterwards streamers appeared ascending some 10° or 15° west of north; these presently disappeared, leaving a mass of light similar to that left in the east of north. Several times feebler streamers made their appearance west of north. The rays did not attain a greater height than some 20° , and by 8½ h. all was quiet, save an auroral glow along the horizon some few degrees east of north, which remained throughout the night. I have thought this might be interesting in connection with the Christiania aurora.

Longitude west of Washington = oh. 39m. 0.68s.
Latitude = +36h. 8m. 58.25s.

E. E. BARNARD

Vanderbilt University Observatory, Nashville, Tenn., U.S.A.

Catalogue of Fossil Mammalia in the British Museum. Part I.

IN reply to Mr. Lydekker's comments on the review of his work (NATURE, vol. xxxi. p. 597) I am glad to find that the author repudiates the Owenian system and its errors, though his recognition of the three upper premolars in *Vespertilio* as corresponding, respectively, to *pms.* 2, 3, and 4 of the typical series of four, and the minute anterior upper premolar of *Rhinolophus* as *p.* 3, added to the strange absence of any note on the presence of exceptions to the supposed rule that the premolars decrease in number by reduction from the anterior extremity of the series